## 

(4) .

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LEILA MALEK. (3)Mohammad Ghayour.

(2) Jacques Etkowicz.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2009.

Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 23-27.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to withdraw the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLIDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 730.4) If a reply to the last Office action has already been fleid, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAIL MIG DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on weres side or on attached sheet.